Exhibit 24



#: 8214

Document 170-24

Transcript of Daniel Patrick Burke

Date: January 20, 2023

Case: XR Communications, LLC -v- Amazon.com, Inc., et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888-433-3767 **Fax:** 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

26

1 12:35:16 individuals at XR who you communicated with? 12:35:23 2 Just repeat it or somebody read that 12:35:25 3 back? Do you -- sure. Do you recall the 12:35:27 4 12:35:28 5 names of any individuals at XR Communications who 12:35:32 6 you communicated with? 7 I believe the two that I communicated 12:35:41 12:35:43 8 with initially were Kai Hansen and Adrian Zajac. 9 Okay, did you eventually communicate 12:35:52 12:35:56 10 with any other individuals at XR Communications? 12:36:05 11 Yes. 12:36:05 12 0 Do you recall those names? 12:36:06 13 Α No. 14 12:36:11 What did XR Communications hire you to 15 12:36:14 do? 12:36:15 16 They hired me to help them with an 12:36:21 17 agreement in which they were acquiring some patent 18 12:36:25 properties. 19 12:36:33 Did your work for XR Communications 12:36:35 20 involve any other tasks? 12:36:39 21 Yes. 22 And what were those? 12:36:41 23 12:36:46 I helped them with some patent 12:36:48 24 prosecution. 25 12:36:52 Q And that was prosecution of the patent

27

1 12:36:55 properties that they acquired? 2 12:36:57 Some of them I believe, yes. 3 12:37:10 When did your work for XR 12:37:12 4 Communications end, approximately? 12:37:18 5 The latter half of 2011, I believe. 12:37:33 6 Q So approximately how long did you do 7 12:37:35 work for XR Communications? 12:37:39 8 Short of two years. Less than two 9 12:37:41 years. 12:37:46 10 Why did your work for XR Communications 12:37:49 11 end? 12 12:37:51 MS. CHAN: I would caution the witness 12:37:52 13 not to reveal the substance of any attorney-client 14 12:37:54 communications, but you can generally respond. 12:38:07 15 I don't think it was ever THE WITNESS: 12:38:08 16 said, but I made clear that I was not expert in 12:38:11 17 the technology. And it wasn't long after that, 18 12:38:17 that I was transferring the finals to another 19 12:38:19 firm. 12:38:24 20 The prosecution, I think, was flowed 12:38:28 21 after we did that purchase agreement, which I had 22 no qualms in handling that. But getting into the 12:38:31 23 12:38:39 prosecution I was relying entirely on them for the 12:38:43 24 substance or the technical aspects of responses to 12:38:52 25 the patent office.

28 1 12:38:54 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 2 12:39:10 So fair to say that the subject of the 3 12:39:11 patents that you were prosecuting for XR was not 12:39:17 4 in the area of your technical expertise? 5 MR. BARHAM: Objection to form; you can 12:39:23 12:39:24 6 answer. 7 12:39:24 THE WITNESS: Generally, yes. 12:39:25 8 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 9 And for that reason you didn't feel 12:39:35 12:39:41 10 comfortable prosecuting patents for XR 12:39:45 11 Communications? 12 12:39:48 MR. BARHAM: Objection to form. You 12:39:49 13 can answer. 14 12:39:52 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say that. I 12:39:54 15 would say I was relying upon technical people at 16 12:39:59 XR for everything technical. 12:40:18 17 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 18 12:40:19 Did you ever do work for an entity 19 12:40:21 called Aequitas? 12:40:25 20 I don't know. I don't know who all the 12:40:27 21 players were. I mean the name's somewhat 22 familiar, but I don't know if I ever -- if they 12:40:29 23 12:40:32 were ever Aequitas that I was representing or the 12:40:34 24 people that I was representing were always XR. I 25 12:40:39 just don't remember.

55

1 01:51:09 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 2 01:51:10 Is it possible that you relied on the 3 01:51:11 statement of Mr. Schwedler that the delay during 01:51:16 4 that period was unintentional? 01:51:22 5 MS. CHAN: Objection to form. 01:51:26 THE WITNESS: It's possible that I 6 7 01:51:27 relied on communications with him and other 01:51:34 8 people. 9 So if -- I'm just trying to define the 01:51:41 01:51:42 10 parameters of your question. Are you saying -- I 01:51:43 11 don't know if you're asking is it possible that I 12 01:51:46 relied exclusively on him or is it him and others? 13 01:51:53 The bottom line is I don't remember, 01:51:54 14 but I'm sure a lot of things are possible. 01:51:58 15 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 16 01:52:00 What did you rely on as the basis for 01:52:05 17 asserting that the delay from June of 2008 through 18 01:52:08 early December 2009 was unintentional? 19 01:52:13 Sitting here today, I don't remember. 01:52:19 20 What did you do to investigate whether 01:52:23 21 the delay from June of 2008 through early 22 December 2009 was unintentional? 01:52:27 23 01:52:31 I don't remember. Α 01:52:38 24 Did you talk with Mr. Schwedler? 0 01:52:42 25 Α I don't remember speaking to Mr.

56

1 01:52:44 Schwedler. 2 01:52:54 Do you recall communicating with anyone 3 01:52:55 else about the circumstances of why no office 01:53:02 4 action response was filed in June of 2008 through 01:53:05 5 December 2009 in the '329 application? 01:53:09 MR. BARHAM: Object to the form. You 6 7 01:53:14 can answer. 01:53:14 8 THE WITNESS: Can you just give me the 9 question back again, please? I'm not asking you 01:53:16 01:53:20 10 to change it; I just want to make sure I have it 01:53:23 11 clear. 01:53:23 12 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 13 01:53:24 Do you recall communicating with anyone 01:53:27 14 else about the circumstances of why no office 01:53:30 15 action response was filed during the time period 16 01:53:33 of June 2008 through December 2009, in the matter 01:53:38 17 of the '329 application? 18 01:53:50 MS. CHAN: Objection to form. 19 01:54:12 THE WITNESS: I have a vaque 01:54:13 20 recollection of working on the -- whatever is 01:54:14 21 called the purchase agreement for the patent 22 properties. 01:54:16 23 01:54:17 There's a representation in there about 01:54:18 24 representations made to the patent office, I 25 01:54:20 believe. I believe I would have been the one to

57

Transcript of Daniel Patrick Burke January 20, 2023

1 01:54:27 put that in there because I was representing XR. 2 01:54:33 There's nobody else -- I mean the 01:54:34 3 other -- I don't recall anybody else working on 01:54:36 4 that agreement on our side, so to speak. I'm sure 01:54:40 5 I communicated with the clients and I know the 01:54:48 6 other side wouldn't have voluntarily put it in 7 01:54:51 there. I think we started with something that 01:54:51 8 9 really didn't get the job done, so I think there 01:54:54 01:54:56 10 was a lot of re-drafting of wherever we started. 01:55:02 11 That's -- it's a very vague recollection, and it's 01:55:06 12 very general, but that's all I really remember and 01:55:16 13 I'm not saying that's everything but I think it's 14 01:55:18 responsive to your question. And as far as who I 01:55:21 15 was communicating with, I don't remember. 16 01:55:27 I'm sure they had counsel on their 01:55:28 17 Well, I'm not sure but I quess they did. side. 18 01:55:33 really don't know. I could have been dealing with 19 01:55:35 the principals on the other side. I just don't 01:55:37 20 That would have been unusual. 01:55:43 21 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 22 So you are referring to a 01:55:43 23 01:55:44 representation about a representation made to the 01:55:46 24 patent office. What do you mean by that? 01:55:52 25 I recall there's something in the

58

1 01:55:54 purchase agreement saying that representations 2 01:55:59 made to the patent office were accurate. 01:56:09 3 Something to that effect. I'm sure you have 01:56:12 4 the -- I assume you have the document. 01:56:14 5 Okay, are you saying that's what you 01:56:15 6 relied on in making your representation to the 7 01:56:20 patent office, that the entire period of delay in 01:56:25 8 the '329 application was unintentional? 9 No, sir. 01:56:33 01:56:34 10 MR. BARHAM: Objection, that misstates 01:56:35 11 his prior testimony. 01:56:36 12 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 01:56:36 13 Okay, what did you rely on in making 01:56:38 14 that representation to the patent office? 01:56:40 15 I don't recall. Α 01:56:43 16 MR. BARHAM: Objection to the prior 01:56:44 17 question as asked and answered. 18 01:57:17 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 19 01:57:18 Do you have any recollection of doing 01:57:19 20 your own independent investigation into the 01:57:24 21 circumstances of how the '329 patent application 22 became abandoned? 01:57:29 23 01:57:41 I really don't understand the question. 01:57:43 24 What do you mean by my own independent 25 01:57:46 investigation? It wasn't like I was digging for

59 01:57:50 something to try to find a, you know, a weapon or 01:57:54 something, right? It's like anything I did for 01:57:59 that prior time period would be relying upon what 01:58:03 other people told me, right. Just because I 01:58:09 wasn't there, it wasn't like this was an 01:58:12 application that my office or that we had dropped 01:58:14 the ball on that we'd have to re-launch. 01:58:19 I'm just saying that clearly we had to rely on somebody or somebodies so... 01:58:20 01:58:26 My question is: What did you rely on? 01:58:28 Was it something somebody told you? 01:58:31 I don't remember. 01:58:35 MS. CHAN: Asked and answered. 01:58:44 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 01:58:45 At the time that you made the statement 01:58:48 to the patent office on the second page of Burke 01:58:54 Exhibit 4, that the entire delay from June of 2008 01:59:01 through November 8th, 2010 was unintentional, did 01:59:05 you know why the '329 application had gone 01:59:08 abandoned? 01:59:11 I don't remember anything about that, I really don't. 01:59:14

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Sitting here today, do you know why the

We saw something that says somebody

'329 application had gone abandoned?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:59:16

01:59:19

01:59:26

96 1 really no way that that would have been covered in 03:23:01 03:23:04 2 here or one of these, that part of it. But I 03:23:11 3 think you also referred to power of attorney. 03:23:14 4 They had a power of attorney that 03:23:15 5 probably listed that application, and that was 03:23:17 6 earlier. I don't know. I mean could that have 7 03:23:20 been included in one of these invoices? Maybe. 03:23:33 8 So, Mr. Burke, sitting here today can 9 you identify anything specific that you did to 03:23:35 03:23:42 10 investigate circumstances of how the '329 patent 03:23:45 11 application became abandonment? 03:23:49 12 MR. BARHAM: Objection to form. 03:23:50 13 can answer. 03:23:55 14 THE WITNESS: Just give me that 03:23:56 15 question again, please? 03:23:58 16 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 17 03:24:01 Sitting here today can you identify 18 anything specific that you did to investigate the 03:24:02 19 03:24:06 circumstances of how the '329 patent application 03:24:09 20 became abandoned? 03:24:12 21 MR. BARHAM: I'm going to object to the 22 question. Are you asking for his recollection? 03:24:13 23 03:24:14 Do you ever want to hear about his practice, what 03:24:19 24 his practice would have been or is that not

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

25

interesting to you.

03:24:20

97

1 MR KOHLHEPP: Counsel, please eliminate 03:24:22 2 03:24:24 the speaking objections. The question was clear 03:24:27 3 and if Mr. Burke can answer it, he can answer it 03:24:29 4 and I'll just ask it again. 03:24:31 5 BY MR KOHLHEPP: 03:24:33 6 Mr. Burke, please answer if you can. 7 03:24:37 Sitting here today, can you identify 03:24:38 8 anything specific that you did to investigate the 03:24:41 9 circumstances of how the '329 patent application 03:24:45 10 became abandoned? 03:24:53 11 The only thing that comes out of all 03:24:55 12 this -- I mean, I don't remember what I did back 03:24:58 13 then, in one way or the other, but the only thing 03:25:01 14 that comes out of all this we talked -- you know, 03:25:02 15 we referred to already -- we didn't talk about a 03:25:05 16 lot, but I think there was a representation in the 17 03:25:10 purchase agreement. That's the only thing I can 18 03:25:15 see or -- I really, don't have any recollection of 19 03:25:19 what happened, you know. What are we talking 11 20 03:25:22 years ago or something, 12 years ago, but the only 03:25:28 21 thing I can remember that, you know, sort of 22 relates to this at all or could relate to it is 03:25:30 23 03:25:33 that representation. 03:25:36 24 What was the representation? 03:25:39 25 A You'd have to look at the agreement or

98

03:25:42 1 show me the agreement. I could find it for you, 03:25:45 2 but it was to the effect that what previous people had said to the patent office was true and 03:25:48 3 03:25:50 4 correct. Something like that. 03:25:56 5 So you rely on --03:25:57 6 No, I'm not saying I relied on it, but 7 03:25:59 it could have -- that could have been something I 03:26:02 8 relied on in part. I don't know. 03:26:06 9 I'm asking: Did you rely on that? 03:26:08 10 Α I don't remember. 03:26:13 11 Okay, so other than a representation in 03:26:21 12 the purchase agreement that you may or may not 13 03:26:25 have relied on, can you identify anything else, 14 03:26:30 sitting here today, that you did to investigate 15 03:26:32 the circumstances of how the '329 patent 03:26:35 16 application became abandonment? 17 03:26:39 No, I could just tell you -- no, the 03:26:41 18 answer is no. I could tell you what my general 19 03:26:44 practice is, but the answer to my question is 20 03:26:47 "no." 03:27:09 21 And you would agree we've looked at a 22 fair number of documents today, correct? 03:27:10 23 03:27:12 Α Excuse me? 03:27:14 24 You would agree that we've looked at a 03:27:16 25 fair number of documents today, correct?

99

•		
1	A I wouldn't characterize it that way.	03:27:19
2	Q We've looked at documents today,	03:27:21
3	correct?	03:27:23
4	A We have.	03:27:24
5	Q And none of those documents refreshed	03:27:25
6	your recollection about anything specific you may	03:27:35
7	have done to investigate the circumstances of how	03:27:37
8	the '329 patent application became abandonment?	03:27:40
9	A That's correct.	03:27:47
10	Q Let's put up tab 15 and mark that as	03:28:28
11	Burke Exhibit number 11.	03:28:31
12	(Whereupon, Burke Deposition Exhibit 11	03:28:32
13	was marked for identification and attached	03:28:32
14	to the transcript.)	03:28:32
15	BY MR KOHLHEPP:	03:29:10
16	Q Mr. Burke I'll represent that this was	03:29:11
17	one of the documents that your counsel produced to	03:29:14
18	us. And my question is: Do you recognize this	03:29:16
19	document?	03:29:22
20	THE WITNESS: No, the only thing that	03:31:29
21	seems vaguely familiar is the name of the county	03:31:31
22	and I don't know why.	03:31:33
23	BY MR KOHLHEPP:	03:31:34
24	Q But otherwise you do not recognize	03:31:36
25	Burke Exhibit 11?	03:31:39

04:18:20 1 I wouldn't say "many" but clearly some. Α 04:18:25 2 And in the times that you have trained 04:18:29 3 other attorneys do you tell them that it's 04:18:35 4 important to try to stay knowledgeable about the 04:18:37 5 rules of practice and procedure governing the 04:18:40 6 prosecution of patents with the patent office? 7 04:18:45 I don't remember ever saying that. 04:18:51 8 But, generally speaking, would you say 04:18:54 9 that's a best practice that you would advise? 10 04:19:00 Sure, in any field, yes. You want to 04:19:02 11 keep up on what's going on. 04:19:05 12 I'm going to turn back to one of the 13 04:19:06 exhibits that we reviewed earlier. 14 04:19:12 If someone could please pull up on the 15 04:19:14 screen Exhibit 9 and make sure to give Mr. Burke 04:19:17 16 control of the exhibit. I do not have control of 17 04:19:27 the exhibit, so I have to ask if somebody can 18 04:19:29 please share the screen. 19 04:19:31 Thank you. Okay, Mr. Burke, do you 20 04:19:37 recall looking at this exhibit earlier? 21 04:19:53 I remember looking at it earlier today. 22 And is this the letter from the patent 04:19:55 23 04:19:57 office granting your petition to revive '329 04:20:01 24 application? 25 04:20:03 As best I can tell, it's part of it.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1 04:20:08 I'm going to direct your attention to a 04:20:10 2 more specific part of it. 04:20:13 3 If you can scroll down to that final 04:20:15 4 paragraph shown there. And read -- I'll direct 04:20:23 5 you to the second sentence that begins with: 04:20:26 6 "Nevertheless such statement is being 7 04:20:28 treated as having been made, as a result of a 04:20:31 8 reasonable inquiry into the facts and 9 circumstances of such delay." [As read.] 04:20:34 04:20:40 10 Do you understand that the reference to 04:20:42 11 "such statement" is the Statement of Unintentional 04:20:44 12 Delay that is made in the petition to revive a 04:20:49 13 patent application? 14 04:20:51 Α Yes. 04:20:53 15 And is it your understanding that the 04:20:58 16 patent office, therefore treats a Statement of 17 04:21:01 Unintentional Delay in a petition to revive, as 04:21:06 18 made pursuant to a reasonable inquiry into facts 19 04:21:09 and circumstances of delay? 04:21:12 20 Yes. 21 04:21:18 So, in your practice do you generally 22 try to conduct a reasonable inquiry into the facts 04:21:20 04:21:23 23 and circumstances of any delay that leads to the 04:21:32 24 abandonment of a patent application? 25 04:21:36 A Other than this application, any time

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

125

Transcript of Daniel Patrick Burke January 20, 2023

1 this -- an application has gone abandoned, I just 04:21:39 knew immediately or upon conferring with somebody 04:21:43 2 04:21:47 3 with in my office, we knew immediately what 04:21:49 4 happened. 5 04:21:50 So if you want to call that a 04:21:52 6 reasonable inquiry, yes. But like I said, on 7 04:22:00 other applications that have gone abandoned that 04:22:03 8 I've been involved in reviving, to the best of my 9 recollection we dropped the ball, so we knew 04:22:06 04:22:09 10 exactly what happened, and we knew whether it 04:22:14 11 was -- we knew in the instances where we revived 04:22:17 12 them, we knew it was unintentional. 13 04:22:21 Sometimes things go abandoned and you 04:22:24 14 don't revive them because you know it was not 04:22:26 15 unintentional. 04:22:33 16 Do you generally -- oh, go ahead. 04:22:34 17 don't want to go interrupt you. 04:22:37 18 No, you're good. I think that was 04:22:38 19 addressed to, you know, whether that's a 04:22:41 20 reasonable inquiry or not. 21 04:22:43 I don't even know if it would amount to 22 04:22:47 an inquiry. 23 04:22:48 You just knew on a quick look that 04:22:50 24 something was docketed wrong, etcetera. 04:22:54 25 Thank you, Mr. Burke, I have no further

1 04:22:56 questions. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay, anything else 04:23:07 2 3 04:23:07 for the record? 04:23:09 4 MS. CHAN: Is there any other defense 04:23:10 5 counsel that has questions? No. I just have a 04:23:15 6 couple, but it would be helpful if we could just 7 04:23:21 take a ten-minute break, so I could collect my 04:23:25 8 thoughts. Can we go off the record? 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 04:23:27 10 at 16:23. 04:23:29 04:23:30 11 (Recess taken 4:23 p.m. to 4:32 p.m.) 04:32:38 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. 13 04:32:43 Back on record, 16:32. 14 04:32:45 FURTHER EXAMINATION 04:32:49 15 BY MS. CHAN: 04:32:50 16 Thank you for your time today, 04:32:51 17 Mr. Burke. 18 I just have a couple of clarifying 04:32:52 04:32:54 19 questions for the record and hopefully we can wrap 04:32:56 20 up within a few minutes. 21 04:33:01 Mr. Burke, just to start with an easy 22 04:33:03 question: We are now in the year 2023, correct? 23 04:33:06 Α Yes. 24 04:33:07 Your representation of XR 25 04:33:09 Communications occurred roughly 13 years ago?

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1 04:33:15 Yeah, roughly. Α 04:33:17 2 And fair to say you do not have 3 04:33:20 specific recollections of particular conversations 04:33:23 4 or actions that you performed from that time 5 04:33:26 period 13 years ago, correct? 04:33:28 6 That's correct. 7 04:33:31 And I know you've said this quite a few 04:33:32 8 times today already, but just so the record is 9 clear, many times in today's deposition you would 04:33:36 04:33:39 10 respond to counsel's questions with "I don't 04:33:42 11 recall" or "I don't remember." Do you recall 12 04:33:46 doing that? 13 04:33:46 Α I do. 14 04:33:47 So just to be clear for the record, 04:33:49 15 when you gave that response, you were not 04:33:51 16 testifying that something affirmatively did or did 17 04:33:54 not occur; is that fair? 18 That's correct. 04:33:57 19 04:33:59 Rather, you gave those responses to 04:34:01 20 indicate that sitting here today, you do not have 04:34:04 21 a specific recollection of whether or not those 22 04:34:07 actions occurred, true? 23 04:34:15 MR KOHLHEPP: Object to form. 04:34:17 24 THE WITNESS: As I understand the 25 04:34:18 question, that's true. It's correct.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

128 1 04:34:24 BY MS. CHAN: 2 04:34:24 For example, you do not have a specific 3 04:34:26 recollection of whether or not you spoke with 04:34:29 4 certain individuals back in 2009 or 2010, true? 04:34:37 5 MR KOHLHEPP: Objection to form. 04:34:37 6 Mischaracterizes the testimony. 7 BY MS. CHAN: 04:34:44 04:34:45 8 I missed your response, Mr. Burke. 9 That's correct. 04:34:47 04:34:56 10 So it's fair to say that it is possible 04:34:57 11 you may have spoken with the numerous individuals 12 04:34:59 and entities we went through today. You just do 04:35:03 13 not recall one way or another, sitting here today? 14 04:35:08 MR KOHLHEPP: Object to form. 04:35:09 15 Mischaracterizes testimony. 04:35:14 16 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 04:35:26 17 BY MS. CHAN: 18 And earlier today in response to some 04:35:27 04:35:28 19 questions about your investigation into the 04:35:28 20 abandonment of the '329 patent application, you

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

THE WITNESS: I had a general practice

mentioned that you had a general practice. Do you

MR. BARHAM: Object to form.

recall talking about that?

Mischaracterizes testimony.

21

22

23

24

25

04:35:32

04:35:34

04:35:41

04:35:41

04:35:45

129

Transcript of Daniel Patrick Burke January 20, 2023

1 about dealing with all issues, all legal issues. 04:35:46 04:35:48 2 BY MS. CHAN: 04:35:49 3 Would you agree that your general 04:35:50 4 practice is to follow the PTAB rules and 04:35:53 5 procedures? 04:35:54 6 No, I wouldn't say -- I wouldn't say 7 04:35:56 I would say the PTO. the PTAB. 04:36:01 8 I apologize. Thank you for that 9 04:36:04 correction. 04:36:04 10 You know, as well as any -- as well as, 04:36:06 11 you know, the research could extend beyond the 04:36:11 12 MPEP and into decisions. 13 04:36:15 So, if the P -- I'm sorry, I 14 04:36:18 interrupted. Go on. 04:36:19 15 Well, if we did research on an issue, 16 04:36:22 it could extend beyond the, you know, the 17 04:36:25 patent -- well, we're just talking about the 18 patent side of the PTO, so beyond the MPEP into 04:36:29 19 04:36:38 court decisions. 04:36:40 20 If we research an issue, we research an 04:36:44 21 It was our practise to research, see what 22 we had to do and to do it. 04:36:44 23 04:36:47 You would agree that if the PTO 04:36:49 24 required you to conduct an investigation or 25 04:36:51 instructed you to conduct an investigation, your

130

1	general practice is to follow what the PTO	04:36:53
2	required or instructed you to do?	04:36:57
3	A Yes.	04:36:59
4	Q Counsel for Apple also asked you a	04:37:05
5	series of questions, asking you to specifically	04:37:07
6	point her to documents reflecting your	04:37:10
7	investigation into the abandonment of the '329	04:37:11
8	application; do you recall those questions?	04:37:16
9	A Generally.	04:37:19
10	Q In preparation for your testimony here	04:37:20
11	today is it accurate to say that you did not	04:37:22
12	research and review every single document in your	04:37:25
13	possession to figure out what investigation you	04:37:27
14	actually performed back in 2009 and 2010?	04:37:30
15	A That would be fair to say, yes.	04:37:34
16	Q Would it also be fair to say that you	04:37:37
17	do not necessarily have every single document that	04:37:39
18	would have reflected your investigation that you	04:37:42
19	performed back in 2009 and 2010, as we are here	04:37:46
20	today?	04:37:50
21	MS. HARTJES: Object to form.	04:37:54
22	THE WITNESS: At a point in time when I	04:37:56
23	stopped representing them, I turned all the	04:37:57
24	physical files over to the next attorneys, so,	04:37:59
25	yes, it's definitely possible.	04:38:07

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC, CSR
3	do hereby certify that the witness was first duly
4	sworn by me and that I was authorized to and did
5	report said proceedings.
6	I further certify that the foregoing
7	transcript is a true and correct record of the
8	proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by
9	me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting under my supervision; that reading and
11	signing was requested; and that I am neither
12	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or
13	employed by, any of the parties to the action in
14	which this deposition was taken; and that I have
15	no interest, financial or otherwise, in this case.
16	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
18	my hand this 25th day of January, 2022.
19	A
20	- Varit
21	Lisa M. Barrett, RPR, CRR, CRC, CSR
22	Certified Realtime Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	